# どう使う? データセンターネットワーキング最前線 LINE 実用例

### **Verda Network Development Team, LINE Corporation**

Hiroki Shirokura Internet Week 2021

# I'm Hiroki Shirokura from LINE

- Senior Software Engineer @ Private Cloud
  - Responsibility: SDN, Cloud Networking
    - Design / Implementation / Reliability
    - SRv6, BGP OSS Upstream Developer
      - FRRouting, ExaBGP, etc..
      - https://github.com/slankdev/
  - HN: slankdev





# Agenda

- About LINE Corporation and its infrastructure
- Looking back LINE's Software Defined Networking
  - Pain Point / Case Study / Knowledge

# About LINE

Media/Entertainment	Fintech	E-Commerce		
Image: Note of the image: Note of t				
Messenger Platform				



https://linedevday.linecorp.com/2021/ja/sessions/1





LINE



Communication channel to receive improvement feedbacks 8





Region

🔘 Tokyo দ

ത

8





Communication channel to receive improvement feedbacks Region

🔘 Tokyo y

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

8





LINE

# LINE Services and Networks

### Latest Infra Challenge

# Full L3 CLOS Network\*

- Single tenant network
- LINE message service and related services running

### Messaging, Manga, Game, ...



\* Excitingly simple multi-path OpenStack networking: LAG-less, L2-less, yet fully redundant https://www.slideshare.net/linecorp/excitingly-simple-multipath-openstack-networking-laglessl2less-yet-fully-redundant







# **KloudNFV - Original NFV Service Deployment Platform**

#### Introduction to Kubernetes based SDN control plane for NFV What is KloudNFV

SDN

App

SDN

Controller

SDN

App

SDN

Controller

Kubernetes

Kubebuilder, Controller-runtime

(Custom Resource Feature)

SDN

App

SDN

Controller

...

#### KloudNFV is SDN Controller Developed with K8s Extension

- Generic NFV services control plane
- Already running in production
  - Routing as a Service
  - VPN as a Service

**SDN Design Principle** 

- Loosely Coupled SDN Applications
- Declarative SDN Applications
- Use only K8s Extension

#### Introduction to Kubernetes based SDN control plane for NFV How it works





https://youtu.be/bTwTFVgq-1M?t=1108

# Looking Back (1) SRv6 Network SDN

# What is SDN, Why we need SDN

- What is Software Defined Networking
  - Original Software Logic belongs to Company's Business Logics for Network Control
  - Well Known as:
    - **No many Logging-In** to Network Equipment and updating configuration for Network Ops
    - Be able to configure **from Single Point to Many** Network Equipments
- Why we need Software Defined Networking
  - Basically we love Commodity Logic instead of Original one
  - Manything can't be achieved with ONLY Commodity (ex: Automating EVPN, Its Configuration)
  - It's Difficult to make the Logic to fit for many cases
    - Let's device actual logic, But let's unify the interface, database, etc....
    - That is the Sense and Approach of SDN



Without SDN



With SDN

## **SDN Architecture Variants**

- Type-1: Almost Dataplane Configuration is done by SDN
  - SDN agents execute "ip route add xxx" to own network-system
  - Can do anything, but high development cost
- Type-2: Almost Controlplane(routing-proto) Configuration is done by SDN
  - SDN agents execute "vtysh -c 'router bgp 1 vrf vrf1' -c 'bgp router-id 1.1.1.1"
  - Some constraint exist, but low development cost
    - Can use existing technology's strong point
    - ex: health check, maintenance technique, etc..
- Practice: Prioritize "Type-2 -> Type-1"
  - For newer technology (like a srv6) will be used as Type-1
  - Few month/year later, it should be moved as Type-2 in some cases

(\*)These are defined for only this presentation

# Gen-1,2,3 SRv6 Overlay Network Design

- Gen1: <u>https://www.janog.gr.jp/meeting/janog44/program/srv6/</u>
- Gen2,3: Overlay Network Terminator (Baremetal  $\rightarrow$  vm)
  - Maintenance of virtual router cluster can be controlled by SDN
  - Lower physical equipment per each environment
- Issues
  - HealthCheck & Failover feature development cost and its flexiblity
  - -> Type-1 development cost...



## **SDN Architecture Variants**

- Type-1: Almost Dataplane Configuration is done by SDN
  - SDN agents execute "ip route add xxx" to own network-system
  - Can do anything, but high development cost
- Type-2: Almost Controlplane(routing-proto) Configuration is done by SDN
  - SDN agents execute "vtysh -c 'router bgp 1 vrf vrf1' -c 'bgp router-id 1.1.1.1"
  - Some constraint exist, but low development cost
    - Can use existing technology's strong point
    - ex: health check, maintenance technique, etc..
- Practice: Prioritize "Type-2 -> Type-1"
  - For newer technology (like a srv6) will be used as Type-1
  - Few month/year later, it should be moved as Type-2 in some cases

(\*)These are defined for only this presentation

## draft-ietf-bess-srv6-services: SRv6 BGP based Overlay Services

- Additional Sub-Type of Prefix SID Path Attribute
  - [new] Type-5: L3VPN Service SID
  - [new] Type-6: L2VPN Service SID
  - Extension of IPVPN(RFC4364), EVPN(RFC7432) to support VPN with SRv6 in addition MPLS



### **Type-1 :: IPv6 Routing Proto + SDN Controller**



# Type-2 :: All Routing Proto (BGP-SRv6-L3VPN)



# Gen-4 SRv6 Overlay Network Design BGP VPNv4 SRv6 for SRv6 Multi-tenant Networking

#### SDN Controller can everything, but it should keep simple

Current SRv6 multi tenant network SDN mechanism is complicated with our special SDN controller. SDN has strong configurability, i.e. It can know everything in the network. But when it has something wrong, All world will be gone...





#### We want to replace C-plane for SRv6 m-t nw with BGP

VPNv4 is really stable architecture because this is standard specification. Our future SDN controller only configures Routing software. then FRRouting will work to construct SRv6 overlay

ref: https://speakerdeck.com/line\_developers/srv6-bgp-control-plane-for-lines-dcn

# Gen-4 SRv6 Overlay Network Design BGP VPNv4 SRv6 for SRv6 Multi-tenant Networking

SDN Controller can everything, but it should keep simple

Current SRv6 multi tenant network SDN mecha with our special SDN controller. SDN has stron It can know everything in the network. But wh wrong, All world will be gone	bgpd: additional Prefix-S 13vpn #5653 & Merged donaldsharp merged 3 commits into FRRouting:ma	SID sub-typ	es for support	ing SRv6 ■	dit <> Code - 5 Feb 2020
Add support for Prefix-SID (Type 5) #9546					
Neutron C-Plane riw777 merged 10 commits into FRRouting:master from proelbtn:add-support-for-perfix-sid-type-5 🖵 on 22 Sep					
sr agnet zebra: srv6 manager #5865	5		Edit <> Code -	+406 -94	is standard
eB Merged mjstapp merged 66 commits into FRRouting:master from slankdev:slankdev-zebra-srv6-manager 🖸 on 5 Jun				oller only confi	gures Routing
add auropart far CDvC IDv(4 L 2)/DNL#(	0640		+6 049 -22	k to construct	
add support for SRV6 IPV4 L3VPN #9649					
proeibin wants to merge 4 commits into FRRouting:master fro	om proeibin:add-support-for-end-di4				
Sr       agnet       Zebra: srv6 manager #5868         eB       Sr Merged       mjstapp merged 66 commits into         B       Sr Merged       mjstapp merged 66 commits into         Add support for SRv6 IPv4 L3VPN #S         In Open       proelbtn wants to merge 4 commits into         Proelbtn wants to merge 4 commits into       FRouting:master         In Open       Proelbtn wants to merge 4 commits into         In Open       Seconversation         39       -> Commits	5 ting:master from slankdev:slankdev-zebra- 9649 om proelbtn:add-support-for-end-dt4 [] Files changed 32	-srv6-manager [] or	Edit <> Code -	+406 –94	is standard gures Routing SRv6 overlay lines-dcn

## SDN Architecturing Knowledge(1) Design Software Automation Aware Network

- Using Commodity Protocol to get simplicity for SDN Logic
  - $\circ$   $\,$  No inline healthcheck mechanism by SDN Logic
  - $\circ$   $\,$  No inline failover mechanism by SDN Logic  $\,$
  - In our case, The commodity specification is already exist
    - VPNv4 with SRv6 backend
    - Of course upstreaming cost was really high
- Another good points:
  - Recruitment, On-boarding, Reusability
- But if there is no Commodity, we need to consider how to
  - Make commodity? or Wait for commodity? or Type-1?

# Looking Back (2) NAT as a Service

- About Distributed NAT routing architecture: <u>linedevday/2020/2076</u>, <u>gihyo/line2021/0002</u>
- Background
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Increasing users after 1st release
  - $\circ$   $\;$  There were 6 Linux servers as NAT dplane
    - They are working as act/act, No session state sync
    - 8vCPU/8GB-RAM x6 = 48vCPU
    - **RPS/RSS** are disabled → Only 6vCPU are working



- We enable **RPS** to use all cores
- Few days later... weird kernel panics are occured in some servers
- Few weeks later... All dplane servers are downed one by one, due to the same issue...
  - There are some 秘孔 to make the server downed...



- We enable **RPS** to use all cores
- Few days later... weird kernel panics are occured in some servers
- Few weeks later... All dplane servers are downed one by one, due to the same issue...
  - There are some 秘孔 to make the server downed...



- Then, we disalbed RPS again
- And we scaled out dplane nodes x3 (6 servers  $\rightarrow$  18 servers)
- Lesson learned
  - (1) If your environment isn't Majority case, be careful for tuning (LWT-BPF, etc..)
  - (2) Scale out is right
  - (3) Almost user work-loads were HTTPs/HTTP, It was easy to maintain
  - (4) Operation Rehearsal
  - (5) Performance lab



Internnet

# Looking Back (3) In-House-Dev Team Building

# It's ALWAYS been My Turn ?

- Do nothing, but necessary route are disappear from VRF...?
  - Hey Software Developer! What is that...!?
  - Many system (sys-a  $\rightarrow$  sys-b  $\rightarrow$  sys-c  $\rightarrow$  sys-d)
    - sys-a is developed by us
    - sys-b is developed by us
    - sys-c is developed by us
    - sys-d ... ah...
- Approach practice: Make it visible what is occured at there

\$ kubectl get event					
LAST SEEN	REASON	OBJECT	MESSAGE		
5m33s	BGPPeerEstablish	routingendpoint/service1-vks-gateway-endpoint1-deea61c0c5	Succeed to establish a BGP p		
5m34s	ExternalApiCallOpenStack	routingendpoint/service1-vks-gateway-endpoint1-deea61c0c5	Call PUT /v2.0/ports/ce224ed		
5m32s	BGPPeerEstablish	routingendpoint/service1-vks-gateway-endpoint2-5db7658f19	Succeed to establish a BGP p		
5m33s	ExternalApiCallOpenStack	routingendpoint/service1-vks-gateway-endpoint2-5db7658f19	Call PUT /v2.0/ports/ebcd654		
5m32s	BGPPeerEstablish	routingendpoint/service1-vks-gateway-endpoint3-27ae0f1277	Succeed to establish a BGP p		
5m32s	ExternalApiCallOpenStack	routingendpoint/service1-vks-gateway-endpoint3-27ae0f1277	Call PUT /v2.0/ports/cabb8c5		

\$ kubectl describe routingendpoint service1-vks-gateway-endpoint3-27ae0f1277 | grep -A 1000 "^Events:" Events:

Туре	Reason	Age	From	Message
Normal Normal	BGPPeerEstablish ExternalApiCallOpenStack	6m39s 6m39s	routingendpoint-controller routingendpoint-controller	Succeed to establish a BGP peer hostname=XXXXX asn=65001 Call PUT /v2.0/ports/cabb8c57-c6f2-4f9b-baba-865b1a75d08e

# **Develop** Unify Platform for next development to Make development easier, faster and stabler

- Develop The System for the system
- ex: Restructure current Internet Gateway service with KloudNFV



# **Performance Lab for In-House development**

© LINE



© LINE

18

(Ansible Log)

19

Testbed

**Testbed Manager** 

# Many Network/Software Challenges (again)

Hyperscale distributed <b>d</b> NAT system and software engineering	2019 DevDay Software Engineering That Supports LINE-Original LBaaS	Faster SRv6 D-plane with XDP	How to benchmark network functions in LINE ネットワーク機能のベンチマーク自動化 <sup>田口 雄現 ( Yuki Taguchi )</sup> 2020/8/19 LINE Developer Meetup #87
Hiroki Shirokura / LINE	<ul> <li>Yutaro Hayakawa</li> <li>LINE Network Development Team Infrastructure Engineer</li> </ul>	ienes 4E (en Gude	
Intedevday/2020/sessions/2076	linedevday/2019/sessions/F1-7		line.compass/184927
<ul> <li>2019 DevDay LINE's Next-Generation SDN Architecture</li> <li>&gt; Toshiki Tsuchiya</li> <li>&gt; LINE Service Network Team Infra Engineer</li> </ul>	High Functional Cloud NFV System Design & Implementation @ LINE Cloud Verda Network Development Team, LINE Corporation Hiroki Shirokura	Refresh DNS Infrastructure with Modern Datacenter Network kawakami kento, verda network development team, line corporation	<b>LINEのネットワークオーケストレーション</b> Verda室ネットワーク開発チーム土屋俊貴
linedevday/2019/sessions/E1-2	janog48/linenfv	janog48/linedns	line.connpass/184927
Designing/Implementing Multi-tenancy Data Center Networking with SRv6 in Large Scale Platform Hirofumi Ichihara LINE corporation	<b>LINEのネットワークを ゼロから再設計した話</b> JANOG43 Meeting 2019/01/24 Masayuki Kobayashi LINE Corporation	Rapid Evolution Challenge @ LINE's Cloud         Verda Network Development Team, LINE Corporation         stankdev / Hiroki Shirokura         WIDE Meeting 2020.12.12         90min → 60 (±10) min session (& discussion), 30 min discussion	
<u>nvidia/gtc</u>	janog43/line	wide meeting 2019	

## Summary

- Many Infrastructure Challenges at LINE
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Large scale private cloud  $\,$
  - Fintech/HealthCare support
  - Many Original systems
- Automation/SDN aware system/network/team design
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Use existing control plane if we can
  - Upstream control plane if we can
  - Scale out is right
  - $\circ$  System for the system
- Q: Software Engineer do it? Network Engineer do it?
- A: Both senses are needed
  - What is critical? What is pain point? by architectural level
  - Act-Stb, Act-Act, 2N, N+1, Blast-radius, Extensibility, Scalability